

Cs Lewis Preface To Paradise Lost

Cs Lewis Preface To Paradise Lost

Downloaded from [cardprinter.sendafriend.co](#) by Demarion & Myla

INTRODUCTION: REVISITING MILTON THROUGH THE LENS OF C.S. LEWIS

Few works of literary criticism have achieved the enduring stature of **C.S. Lewis's A Preface to Paradise Lost**. Originally delivered as a series of lectures at the University of Oxford and later published in 1942, this slender but dense volume remains one of the most insightful and accessible guides to John Milton's epic poem. For students, scholars, and general readers alike, the **Cs Lewis Preface To Paradise Lost** serves as an indispensable companion, illuminating the poem's structure, theology, and artistic purpose with characteristic clarity and conviction. Lewis does not merely explain Paradise Lost; he defends it, inviting readers to approach the poem on its own terms rather than through the lens of modern sensibilities. In doing so, he offers a masterclass in how to read epic poetry with humility, attention, and intellectual rigor.

Understanding why Lewis's preface continues to resonate requires examining both the context in which it was written and the arguments it advances. Lewis was writing at a time when Milton's reputation, though still formidable, had begun to attract significant critical scrutiny. The Romantic poets, particularly William Blake and Percy Bysshe Shelley, had recast Milton's Satan as a heroic rebel, a reading that clashed sharply with Milton's own declared intentions. Lewis set out to correct what he saw as fundamental misreadings, arguing that Paradise Lost is not a poem about political rebellion but about the nature of obedience, hierarchy, and cosmic order. His preface thus becomes an extended meditation on how to read a Christian epic in an age increasingly skeptical of its foundational beliefs.

THE BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF A PREFACE TO PARADISE LOST

Why Lewis Felt Compelled to Write

In the early twentieth century, literary criticism was undergoing profound transformations. The rise of modernist aesthetics, with its emphasis on ambiguity, irony, and psychological complexity, created an intellectual climate increasingly hostile to the overtly theological framework of Paradise Lost. Many critics, influenced by the Romantic tradition, continued to champion Milton's Satan as the poem's true hero, while dismissing its theological arguments as tedious or outmoded. Lewis, a devout Christian and a scholar of medieval and Renaissance literature, found these readings not only inaccurate but intellectually irresponsible. He believed that to understand Paradise Lost, one must first understand what Milton himself believed he was doing, and that required engaging seriously with the poem's Christian worldview.

The **Cs Lewis Preface To Paradise Lost** is therefore both a work of literary criticism and a work of cultural apologetics. Lewis argues that modern readers have lost the ability to appreciate certain kinds of poetry because they have abandoned the philosophical and theological commitments that make such poetry meaningful. He does not ask readers to share Milton's beliefs, but he does insist that they make an honest effort to understand them. This insistence on intellectual charity is one of the book's most enduring virtues.

The Audience and Structure of the Lectures

Lewis originally delivered these lectures to an undergraduate audience at Oxford, and the conversational yet intellectually demanding tone of the preface reflects this context. He assumes no specialized knowledge on the part of his listeners, but he does assume a willingness to think seriously about literature and theology. The preface is organized into a series of chapters that move from general considerations about epic poetry to specific analyses of Paradise Lost itself. Lewis begins by defining the nature of epic, then examines Milton's style, his treatment of character, and finally the theological and moral vision that animates the poem. This logical progression makes the book an effective teaching tool as well as a work of original criticism.

LEWIS'S THEORY OF EPIC POETRY

Primary Epic and Secondary Epic

One of the most influential concepts in the **Cs Lewis Preface To Paradise Lost** is the distinction between **primary epic** and **secondary epic**. Primary epic, according to Lewis, is oral poetry that emerges from a heroic age, such as Homer's Iliad and Odyssey or the Old English Beowulf. These poems are characterized by their immediacy, their focus on martial valor, and their celebration of a warrior ethos. They were composed for audiences that shared the values they depict, and they were performed aloud, often to the accompaniment of music. Primary epic is, in Lewis's words, "the poetry of a society that is itself heroic."

Secondary epic, by contrast, is a literary and self-conscious form. It is written by a single author who looks back on a heroic age from a position of cultural or moral distance. Virgil's Aeneid is the archetypal example of secondary epic, and Milton's Paradise Lost belongs squarely in this tradition. Secondary epic does not simply celebrate heroism; it redefines it. For Virgil, the heroism of Aeneas is not the reckless valor of Achilles but the patient endurance of duty. For Milton, the true heroism is obedience to God, and the greatest act of courage is not the slaying of enemies but the humble acceptance of divine will.

This distinction is crucial because it helps readers understand what Milton is trying to accomplish. Those who approach Paradise Lost expecting the thrilling battles of Homeric epic will be disappointed. Milton's epic is not about physical combat but about moral and spiritual struggle. By framing the poem as secondary epic, Lewis provides readers with the interpretive tools they need to appreciate Milton's achievement on its own terms.

The Importance of the Epic Conventions

Lewis devotes considerable attention to the conventions of classical epic and how Milton adapts them. The invocation of the Muse, the in medias res opening, the catalog of heroes, the epic simile, the descent into the underworld, and the prophecy of future events all appear in Paradise Lost, but

Milton transforms them for his own purposes. For example, the traditional epic descent into the underworld becomes, in Milton's hands, the rebellion of Satan and the fall of the rebel angels. The epic catalog becomes the list of fallen angels in Book I, a passage that Lewis analyzes with particular care.

Lewis insists that these conventions are not mere decorations or concessions to tradition. They are essential to the meaning of the poem. Milton uses the familiar structures of epic to guide reader expectations and then subverts those expectations in service of his theological vision. Understanding how the conventions work allows readers to see the artistry behind Milton's choices and to appreciate the poem as a unified work of art rather than a collection of impressive passages.

THEOLOGICAL AND MORAL VISION IN PARADISE LOST

Hierarchy and the Great Chain of Being

Central to Lewis's interpretation of Paradise Lost is the concept of hierarchy, or what Renaissance thinkers called the Great Chain of Being. For Milton, as for most of his contemporaries, the universe is an ordered structure in which every being has its proper place and function. God is at the top, followed by angels, humans, animals, plants, and inanimate matter. Within each level, there are further hierarchies: seraphim above cherubim, men above women, reason above passion. This cosmic order is not arbitrary but reflects the wisdom and goodness of the Creator. To rebel against one's place in this order is not merely to break a rule but to reject the very structure of reality.

Lewis argues that modern readers, shaped by egalitarian and democratic ideals, often find this hierarchical worldview offensive. They see it as a justification for political oppression or social inequality. But Lewis insists that for Milton, hierarchy is not about power or domination. It is about relationship, harmony, and the fulfillment of one's nature. The obedience that Milton celebrates is not the cringing submission of a slave but the joyful acceptance of one's place in a cosmos created by a loving God. Satan's rebellion is tragic precisely because it represents a refusal to accept this order, a preference for self-assertion over communion.

The Character of Satan: Rehabilitating the Traditional Reading

No aspect of the **Cs Lewis Preface To Paradise Lost** has attracted more attention than Lewis's treatment of Satan. Lewis explicitly rejects the Romantic reading that makes Satan the hero of the poem. He argues that such a reading is based on a misunderstanding of Milton's intentions and a failure to attend to the poem's actual language. Satan, Lewis points out, is consistently portrayed as a figure of pride, envy, and self-deception. His speeches, however eloquent, are filled with logical fallacies and moral evasions. He is not a noble rebel but a pathetic figure who has cut himself off from the source of all goodness and truth.

Lewis's analysis of Satan's character is both psychological and theological. He shows how Satan's rebellion begins not with a reasoned rejection of divine authority but with a refusal to accept his own creatureliness. Satan cannot bear the thought that he is not self-sufficient, that his existence depends on a power greater than himself. This refusal leads him to a progressive degradation in which he becomes increasingly isolated, bitter, and self-destructive. By the end of the poem, the once-glorious archangel has been reduced to a serpent, hissing out his hatred in a world of darkness and pain.

Lewis's reading of Satan is not without its critics, and many scholars have argued that he oversimplifies the character or ignores the genuine sympathy that Milton sometimes seems to evoke for him. But Lewis's central point remains powerful: to read Paradise Lost properly, one must take seriously the poem's moral and theological framework. Satan is not a misunderstood hero but a cautionary example of what happens when pride destroys love.

STYLE, LANGUAGE, AND THE AESTHETICS OF PARADISE LOST

Milton's Latinized English

Lewis devotes a chapter to Milton's poetic style, which has often been criticized as artificial, Latinate, and remote from natural speech. Lewis does not deny these charges but argues that they miss the point. Milton's style is deliberately elevated and formal because the subject of his poem demands it. Paradise Lost is about the fall of humanity and the drama of cosmic redemption. Such a subject requires a language that is solemn, majestic, and capable of conveying the weight of eternity. The ordinary rhythms of everyday speech would be wholly inadequate.

Lewis compares Milton's style to the architecture of a great cathedral. The intricate syntax, the inverted word order, the classical allusions, and the elaborate similes are not obstacles to meaning but essential components of the poem's aesthetic effect. They create a sense of distance and awe, reminding readers that they are witnessing events of transcendent importance. To read Milton properly, Lewis argues, one must slow down, attend to the texture of the language, and allow the poetry to work on the imagination. This requires effort, but the effort is rewarded with an experience of beauty and sublimity that more immediately accessible poetry cannot provide.

The Use of Epic Simile and Classical Allusion

Milton's epic similes are among the most celebrated features of Paradise Lost, and Lewis offers a detailed analysis of how they function. Unlike Homeric similes, which often serve simply to amplify or embellish, Milton's similes are frequently ironic or morally instructive. For example, when Satan is compared to a Leviathan or a wolf, the comparison not only evokes a sense of size and power but also suggests something about the moral nature of the character. The similes invite readers to make judgments, to see the events of the poem through a lens of moral discernment.

Similarly, Milton's use of classical allusion is never merely decorative. By comparing his characters and events to figures from Greek and Roman mythology, Milton creates a dialogue between pagan and Christian worldviews. The classical allusions serve to measure the distance between the heroic

values of the ancient world and the very different heroism of Christian obedience. Lewis shows how this technique enriches the poem's meaning and allows Milton to engage with the entire Western literary tradition.

THE PLACE OF A PREFACE TO PARADISE LOST IN LITERARY CRITICISM

Enduring Influence and Controversies

Since its publication, the **Cs Lewis Preface To Paradise Lost** has been widely read and frequently debated. Some critics have accused Lewis of being too dogmatic in his theological interpretations, of reading Milton as if he were a medieval theologian rather than a Renaissance poet. Others have challenged his dismissal of the Romantic Satan and his insistence on the poem's hierarchical worldview. Yet even Lewis's critics acknowledge the power and coherence of his argument. The preface remains one of the most frequently assigned texts in university courses on Milton, and it

continues to shape the way readers approach Paradise Lost.

One reason for its lasting influence is that Lewis writes with a combination of intellectual seriousness and passionate engagement that is rare in academic criticism. He does not hide his own beliefs or pretend to a false objectivity. Instead, he argues openly for a particular way of reading, and he does so with clarity, wit, and moral urgency. Whether one agrees with him or not, reading Lewis is an invigorating experience that forces readers to clarify their own assumptions about literature, religion, and the purpose of art.

Practical Advice for Readers of Paradise Lost

Beyond its theoretical arguments, the **Cs Lewis Preface To Paradise Lost** offers practical advice that remains valuable for anyone approaching